

DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group - Meeting 19

09 May 2025 at 14:00

Location/ Web-Conference/Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Peter Waymont (PW)	UKPN
Kara Burke (KB)	NPg
Rachel Proser (RP)	NGED
Dave Wornell (DW)	NGED
Monique Pereira (MP)	Indigo Networks
Erik Baguzis (EB)	Indigo Networks
Mark Bellman (MB)	ENWL
Lili Zou (LZ)	SPEN
KARL Maryon (KM)	Drax
Sam Willock (SW)	Shell
Tony Colling (TC)	Ecotricity
Jaqui Neck (JN)	NGED
Code administrator	
Andy Green [AG]	ElectraLink
John Lawton (JL)	ElectraLink

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair asked members if they were comfortable for this Stakeholder Group call to be recorded. No members objected to this request. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting. The recording will be deleted after the Minutes have been signed off.

- 1.2 The Stakeholder Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Stakeholder Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference
- 1.3 The actions from this Stakeholder Group have been captured in an action log. The action log can be found at [appendix 1](#).

2. Purpose of the Meeting / Timeline for Delivery

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to continue the review of the data held within the EMDS and conduct an exercise during the call comparing the data held in the EMDS to the data held elsewhere, identify any discrepancies and agree on the correct data the EMDS should hold.
- 2.2 The data comparison spreadsheet can be found within Attachment 1 Data Item comparison.
- 2.3 JL advised that there’d been a meeting with other code bodies, the Authority and the MHHS programme where he raised the issues that the DCUSA Stakeholder Group had been discussing with the data in the EMDS and questioned the programme on where this data should be sourced from.
- 2.4 An answer wasn’t given but JL noted that there was a meeting being set up with the MHHS programme and key members of the MHHS Stakeholder Group to draw out a solution.
- 2.5 JL informed the Stakeholder Group that after he’d met with the programme, he’d conducted a further review of the data comparisons log and highlighted areas where the data wasn’t accurate or needed a clarification in the EMDS. This was to be the data that the DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group would be reviewing during this meeting.
- 2.6 JL advised that once this document gets updated, this is what will be presented to the programme as a request for that the values that the EMDS should hold.
- 2.7 JL noted that this is just a request to correct errors in the data, not a design change.
- 2.8 A number of data items that were reviewed and the DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Groups conclusions of this review can be found within Attachment 1, specifically in row S of the spreadsheet.
- 2.9 As per pervious DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group meetings, the SWAGGER was the central data source that the data comparison to the EMDS would be made against.
- 2.10 One of the areas JL drew specific attention to was the address data items in the EMDS. PW advised that using MPAN address would be the best data item to use for these.
- 2.11 Sort Code was another area that was discussed as there was a discrepancy in the values within the data comparison as the EMDS said 6 digits where as the ECS was showing 8. PW Advised within the SWAGGER it said the value was 6 digits with no spaces or hyphens allowed.
- 2.12 It was agreed that in row 44 ‘LDSO Billing Site ID’, the true value that the EMDS needed to capture was sting, not number so this was updated.
- 2.13 It was also agreed to add ‘Site reference of billing account’ to the population notes for this data item.
- 2.14 Row 45 ‘List of MPANS on site’ was highlighted that the current value was 1400, which the Stakeholder Group agreed was correct however, it was also agreed that each MPAN would need to be separated by a space, so this note was added to the population notes.

- 2.15 For Row 47 'LDSO Billing Site Capacity' it was noted that in the EMDS 'capacity' was missing a 'c' so that needed to be updated.
- 2.16 It was also noted that for the above data item, adding in to population notes 'The total capacity of the site which may differ from the billed capacity' would be helpful so this was taken forward.
- 2.17 It was agreed that the date format of YYYY-MM-DD was correct, so no change was required here.
- 2.18 In row 79 'VAT Rate', it was agreed that the % sign was not required, and that the length should be 2 as this would allow a value up to and including 99.
- 2.19 It was highlighted that there were a number of data items within the EMDS that had an incorrect value captured which needed to be raised with the programme. These can be found in attachment 1 by looking at column 'S' and searching for "SWAGGER =xx For discussion with the programme".
- 2.20 For the rest of the data items in the spreadsheet, from row 90 'DUoS Smart and Unmetered Demand Disconnection Volume' down, it was noted that these affect DTC flows, so it was agreed to confirm with the programme as to whether these were in or out of scope as they are all currently live now.
- 2.21 There were a number of items that had typos or minor amendments that were captured within the data item comparison spreadsheet.
- 2.22 For a full review of the data items the DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group reviewed, these can be found within Attachment 1, column S, as this was where the output from the Stakeholder Group is captured.
- 2.23 That concluded the review of the data comparison spreadsheet and it was noted that this would be what is presented to the MHHS programme during the meeting to discuss the data in the EMDS.

3. A.O.B

- 3.1 It was discussed which day to host these meeting on moving forward. It was decided to have the next meeting on 16 May 2025 at 2pm and then each Friday after that.

4. Attachments

- Attachment 1 Data Item comparison

Appendix 1 – Actions Log

New and Open Actions – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

16.1	Reissue the meeting pack for DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group to the distribution list again	Andy Green	Closed post meeting
16.2	The MHHS Stakeholder Group to review the change proposal prior to the meeting on 11 April 2025 in order to sign off.	All	Open

Closed – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
1.1	Update slide pack with correct DCUSA logo	John Lawton	Closed
1.2	Create a strawman version of the changes required for items 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the Stakeholder to begin a review	Peter Waymont	Closed
3.1	AG to send Stakeholder Group the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33	Andy Green	Closed
3.2	The Stakeholder Group to review the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16,	All	Closed

	32 and 33 prior to the next Stakeholder Group meeting on 22-02-2023.		
3.1	AG to send Stakeholder Group the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33	Andy Green	Closed
4.1	AG to update the legal text from DCP 415 into the draft legal text.	Andy Green	Closed
5.1	AG to speak with the MHHS programme and the REC to establish if SSCs and TPRs will be retained and if the LMA process can link into this	Andy Green	Closed
6.1	AG to request SSC/TPR data off distributors with demand-controlled areas and share with RECCo	Andy Green	Closed
7.1	AG to send the RECCo the questions posed by the Stakeholder Group	Andy Green	Closed
7.2	AG to set up Doodle Poll to agree the next meeting date once the RECCo had answer the questions posed in meeting 07	Andy Green	Closed
9.1	AG to raise a request at the next closed panel session to send final agendas only for the weekly meetings	Andy Green	Closed
7.2	AG to set up Doodle Poll to agree the next meeting date once the RECCo had answer the questions posed in meeting 07	Andy Green	Closed

12/1	Update the DCUSA consequential change legal text after the Stakeholder Groups review.	John Lawton	New Action
14/1	Send JL the updated legal text	Andy Green	New Action
14/2	Investigate if any testing for the REP-900 and REP-901 DIP flows has been agreed by the MHHS Programme	Peter Waymont	New Action